1	MARY ANN SMITH
1	Deputy Commissioner
2	SEAN ROONEY
	Assistant Chief Counsel
3	VANESSA T. LU (State Bar No. 295217)
4	Counsel
	Department of Business Oversight
5	1350 Front Street, Room 2034
	San Diego, California 92101
6	Telephone: (619) 525-3727
7	Facsimile: (619) 525-4045
<i>'</i>	Attamassa fan Camalainant
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

THE COMMISSIONER OF BUSINESS
OVERSIGHT,

ORDER REVOKING MORTGAGE LOAN
ORIGINATOR LICENSE

Complainant,

v.

PATRICIA MARIA ALCANTAR,

Respondent.

The Commissioner of Business Oversight (Commissioner) finds:

- 1. Respondent Patricia Alcantar (Alcantar) also known as Patricia Maria Sanchez, Patty Sanchez, and Maria Patricia Sanchez Abundis is a mortgage loan originator (MLO) licensed by the Commissioner pursuant to the California Financing Law (Fin. Code, § 22000 et seq.) (CFL) and the California Residential Mortgage Lending Act (Fin. Code, § 50000 et seq.) (CRMLA).
- 2. On December 8, 2017, Alcantar filed a Form MU4 (Application) through the Nationwide Multistate Licensing System for a MLO license with the Commissioner pursuant to Financial Code section 22105.1.

23, 2018.

- 3. In submitting her Application, Alcantar was required to sign an oath and attestation agreeing "to keep the information contained in this [Application] form current and to file accurate supplementary information on a timely basis . . ."
 4. Based on the information provided in her Application and her agreement to keep the information current or to supplement, the Commissioner issued Alcantar a MLO license on January
- 5. On January 3, 2018, the Department of Business Oversight (Department) reviewed Alcantar's record from the State of California, Department of Real Estate (DRE). On September 13, 2017, DRE filed an accusation against Alcantar in *The Department of Real Estate v. Patricia Maria Sanchez (DRE v. Sanchez)*, No. H-3134 FR.
- 6. In her Application, Alcantar answered "No" to Regulatory Disclosure Question N, which ask, in pertinent part:

Is there a pending regulatory action proceeding against you for any alleged violation described in (K) through (L)?

- 7. Alcantar should have answered "Yes" to Regulatory Disclosure Question N because of her pending case with DRE.
- 8. On January 3, 2018, the Department created a license item in NMLS and instructed Alcantar to provide a detailed explanation of the circumstances of her pending DRE case and to upload the applicable legal documents.
- 9. Alcantar filed several amended applications on NMLS. On January 19, 2018, Alcantar filed a Fifth Amended Application where she answered "Yes" to Regulatory Disclosure Question N and provided details regarding her pending DRE case.
- 10. On January 23, 2018, the Department created a license item in NMLS instructing Alcantar to provide the final disposition of her DRE case.
- 11. Between January 23, 2018 and December 12, 2018, Alcantar filed eight additional amended applications. On December 12, 2018, Alcantar filed the operative Thirteenth Amended Application.

12.

2	petition or been the subject of an involuntary bankruptcy petition within the past 10 years?" Alcantar				
3	answered "Yes," submitted documents, and included an event explanation:				
4		I was obligated to file for bankruptcy in 2009 after divorcing my			
5		husband and having so much debt in my credit that was not necessarily mine. BK was discharged in September of 2010.			
6		mine. BK was discharged in September of 2010.			
7	13.	Financial Disclosure Question A(3) asked: "Have you been the subject of a			
8	foreclosure action within the past 10 years? Alcantar answered "Yes" and provided an event				
9	explanation:				
10 11		I had a Foreclosures on 3 properties that happen when the economy went down around the years of 2005 & 2010. All foreclosures have been final & were also included in the bankruptcy filed in 2009.			
12	14.	Financial Disclosure Question D asked: "Do you have any unsatisfied judgment or			
13	liens against you?" Alcantar answered "Yes" and provided a brief description:				
14					
15		My credit is not the best right now because I had to go through a 2nd divorce with which I had some debt together with my ex-husband and			
16 17		unfortunately some of them were under my name as well so they show in my credit.			
18	15.	Alcantar answered "Yes" to Regulatory Action Disclosure Question K(5), which			
19	asked, in pertinent part:				
20		Has any State or federal regulatory agency or foreign financial			
21		regulatory authority or self-regulatory organization (SRO) ever:			
22		revoked your registration or license?			
23	16.	Alcantar submitted documents that showed DRE revoked her real estate salesperson's			
24	license and included the following explanation:				
25		My Real Estate License got Revoked on 11-2-18 due to case #H-3134			
26		FR previously reported to NMLS in which a person reported that I misrepresented him. I had a court date in which the judge decided to			
27		revoke my license			
28	///				
	1				

Financial Disclosure Question A(1) asked: "Have you filed a personal bankruptcy

17.	In DRE v. Sanchez, on September 7, 2018, the Real Estate Commissioner adopted the		
administrative law judge (ALJ)'s August 10, 2018 Proposed Decision (Decision) that revoked			
Alcantar's license. The Decision became effective on October 2, 2018.			
18.	In her Thirteenth Amended Application, Alcantar answered "No" to Regulatory		
Disclosure Questions K(1), K(2), K(4), K(8), and K(9).			
19.	Alcantar should have answered "Yes" to Questions K(1), K(2), K(4), K(8), and K(9)		
which ask, in pertinent part:			

- (K) Has any State or federal regulatory agency or foreign financial regulatory authority or self-regulatory organization (SRO) ever:
- (1) found you to have made a false statement or omission or been dishonest, unfair or unethical?
- (2) found you to have been involved in a violation of a financial services-related business regulation(s) or statute(s)?

. . .

(4) entered an order against you in connection with a financial services-related activity?

. . .

- (8) issued a final order against you based on violations of any law or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?
- (9) entered an order concerning you in connection with any license or registration?
- 20. The Decision showed DRE established by clear and convincing evidence that Alcantar was not a licensed real estate broker and did not have a MLO license endorsement but she nonetheless performed several functions requiring a real estate broker license, including performing services for a borrower in connection with a loan secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property (mortgage refinance).
- 21. The ALJ also found that Alcantar misrepresented to the victim that she could charge an advance fee for preparing and handling the loan paperwork, communicate with lenders on the ///

victim's behalf and provide translation services. Alcantar also misrepresented that the advance fees were intended to cover the loan paperwork expenses.

- 22. The ALJ concluded in its Decision that "[c]onsidering all the evidence, respondent's actions are very troubling and demonstrate willful violations of Real Estate Law, and it would be against the public's interest to allow her to remain licensed as a real estate salesperson." The ALJ found Alcantar did not take responsibility for her misconduct.
- 23. The Decision stated Alcantar's conduct violated several sections of the Business and Profession Code:
 - section 10130 (unlicensed broker activity);
 - section 10176, subdivision (a), (substantial misrepresentations);
 - section 10176, subdivision (i), (fraud or dishonest dealing);
 - section 10777, subdivision (d), (willful disregard of real estate laws);
 - section 10777, subdivision (g), (negligence/incompetence of licensee);
 - section 10085 (advance fee agreements and materials); and
 - section 10085.5 (unlawful payment of advanced fee).
- 24. The factual findings from *DRE v. Sanchez* and Alcantar's license revocation contradicts her "No" responses to Regulatory Disclosure Questions K(1), K(2), K(4), K(8), and K(9).
- 25. On June 17, 2019, the Commissioner issued an Accusation in Support of Order Revoking Mortgage Loan Originator License of Patricia Maria Alcantar Pursuant to Financial Code sections 22109.1, 22172, 50327, 50141, 50513, and California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1422.6.2, and Notice of Intention to Issue Order Revoking Mortgage Loan Originator License with accompanying documents against Alcantar.
- 26. On July 8, 2019, the Commissioner served Alcantar with those documents via certified mail return receipt at her licensed location on file with the Commissioner. On July 9, 2019, Alcantar was personally served with those documents.
- 27. The Commissioner received no request for a hearing from Alcantar, and the time to request a hearing has expired.

///

1	NOW GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that the mortgage			
2	loan originator license issued by the Commissioner to Patricia Maria Alcantar also known as Patricia			
3	Maria Sanchez, Patty Sanchez, and Maria Patricia Sanchez Abundis is revoked. This order is			
4	effective as of the date hereof.			
5				
6	Dated: August 12, 2019	MANUEL P. ALVAREZ		
7	Sacramento, CA	Commissioner of Business Oversight		
8		By		
9		MARY ANN SMITH		
10		Deputy Commissioner Enforcement Division		
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
2223				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
-				
	Í			